



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,393	01/31/2001	Anoop Gupta	418268854US	7988
45979	7590	04/20/2009	EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP/MSFT			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E	
P. O. BOX 1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			2424	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/20/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/775,393	GUPTA, ANOOP	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin E. Shepard	2424	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Justin E. Shepard. (3) _____.

(2) Maurice Pirio. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 76.

Identification of prior art discussed: Morrison, Sumita, and Morris.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant and examiner discussed the difference between the invention and the claim language as it applied to the references used, and the applicant agreed to send in a response in light of the examiner's interpretation of the existing claim language to get around the cited art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424
--	--